

North Yorkshire

Personal Details:

Name:

[REDACTED]

Email:

[REDACTED]

Postcode:

[REDACTED]

Organisation Name: Local business owner and community development organiser (Member of the public)

Comment text:

Related subject: LG Boundary Commission England review - Ripon area

I fully support the boundary commission's proposed changes. The Ripon City Council (and I believe NYC Conservative group?) have essentially resubmitted ammendments already rejected by the Boundary Commidsion. This rejection is fair and common sensical for the following principal reasons:

- 1 - to add the Clotherholme Barracks area to Fountains Ward makes no sense. The proposed major housing development here will clearly, naturally be a part of Ripon City; residents there will look towards the city for their services and support and so should ge represented by a Ripon Councillor, not a rural area (Fountains) Councillor.
- 2 - Adding West Tanfield and North Stainley to Fountains Ward (ie a rural ward) makes sense - and helps to equalise ward sizes.
- 3 - Linking the Cathedral area /Kirkgate with Spa Gardens and other key areas for city centre development allows us to get on with the city plan - under a single progressive, community oriented Ward/Councillor.

This is my reading - the Boundary Commission seem coherent, independent and cohesive in their plans, as they should be - also giving us another - we hope progressive - Councillor .. Can we please get on with it??

Attached Documents:

None attached